Page 10 Serial No. 09/843,211 Response to Official Action

Remarks

Applicant has canceled claims 4, 5, and 24-36 and added new claims 37-53. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter was added by the amendment, as all of the amended matter was either previously illustrated or described in the drawings, written specification and/or claims of the present application. Entry of the amendment and favorable consideration thereof is earnestly requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 4, 5, and 24-36 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Examiner has further rejected claims 4, 5, and 24-36 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,367,637 in view of Sharp.

Applicant respectfully submits that new claims 37-53 address the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejections.

In addition, Applicant respectfully submits herewith a terminal disclaimer in response to the Examiner's obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 37-52, all of the claims remaining in the application, are in order for allowance and early notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Wesley W. Whitmyer, Jr., Registration No. 33,558

Attorney for Applicants

ST.ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS LLC

986 Bedford Street

Stamford, CT 06905-5619

203 324-6155